

1

2

3

4

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5

6

DANIEL D. DYDZAK,

Plaintiff,

v.

EDWARD M. CHEN, et al.,

Defendants.

7

8

9

Case No. 17-cv-06843-MMC

**ORDER STAYING PROCEEDINGS**

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

By order filed concurrently herewith, the Court has directed plaintiff Daniel D. Dydzak to show cause why all remaining claims in the above-titled action should not be dismissed without leave to amend. In light thereof, with the exception of the filing of plaintiff's response to the order to show cause, all proceedings in the above-titled action are hereby STAYED. See Landis v. North American Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936) (holding "the power to stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants"). In particular, pending resolution of the order to show cause, no motions shall be filed, including motions to dismiss and motions for entry of default, and no discovery shall be sought or exchanged. Any party seeking leave from the stay must file an administrative motion pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: March 29, 2018

  
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge